

# TRIAL COURT EVALUATORS QUICK REFERENCE:



## General Guidelines:

- Evaluators score judge AND team performances.
- Start each team and judge with Score of 3 then add/subtract points based on performances.
- Please remember these are high school students, not college or law school students.
- Please do not consult with other evaluators or any team regarding your evaluation(s) during the competition.
- Please **write** comments on your evaluation of each team and the judge. Written feedback is very valuable for teams to receive at the conclusion of the competition.

## Case Information: State of Texas v. Cameron Shepard

### WITNESSES FOR THE PROSECUTION TEAM (STATE OF TEXAS):

1. Officer Jordan Cole
2. Emery Payne, RN
3. Imani Haines

### WITNESSES FOR THE DEFENSE TEAM (Cameron Shepard):

1. Dr. Sidney Tate
2. Urban Rhodes
3. Taylor Belanger

### PHYSICAL EVIDENCE THAT MAY BE ENTERED BY PROSECUTION:

1. SFST Field Notes
2. Blood Vial
3. Blood Draw Lab Report
4. Death Certificate
5. Bar Receipt

\*\*\*The defense team does not have to enter evidence, but may\*\*\*

Judge Scoring Criteria	Attorney Team Scoring Criteria
<b>Calling the Court to Order:</b> communicating any courtroom rules, swearing in witnesses, announcing that Court is in session, stating the charges against the defendant	<b>Opening Statement:</b> Preferably memorized, effectively delivered; attorneys inform the court what they intend to prove in the trial
<b>Knowledge of Trial Procedure:</b> stick to schedule of the trial, rules, and proper procedures. Does not need guidance, does not hesitate during trial proceedings	<b>Attorney #1 Direct Exam:</b> How well attorney #1 handles difficult witnesses and effectively extracts information with good questions
<b>Knowledge of Evidence Rules, Objections, and the Law:</b> Judges were required to pass a test on how to handle entering evidence, the order of who presents, etc.	<b>Attorney #2 Direct Exam:</b> How well attorney #2 handles difficult witnesses and effectively extracts information with good questions
<b>Demeanor and Professionalism:</b> Demands respect, engaged, good posture, pleasant disposition	<b>Attorney #1 Cross Exam:</b> How well attorney #1 effectively and assertively handles the other team's witnesses, getting the answers they need
<b>Interaction with Trial Participants:</b> does not let attorneys go over time, politely directs attorneys and witnesses to proceed, etc.	<b>Attorney #2 Cross Exam:</b> How well attorney #2 effectively and assertively handles the other team's witnesses, getting the answers they need
<b>Decision-Making Ability:</b> Handle objections and questions efficiently, does not get thrown off by unexpected events	<b>Use of Exhibits and Evidence:</b> Attorneys use evidence throughout their presentation. Exhibits are marked, offered & entered into evidence properly, and used effectively NOTE: the judge should know the procedure
<b>Maintaining Control of Courtroom:</b> Preside effectively, keep time, make a ruling and explain why a team won NOTE: The verdict of the judge does <b>not</b> affect evaluators' score of each team	<b>Knowledge of Trial Procedure:</b> stick to schedule of the trial, know rules and proper procedures; always be relevant, effective and fair to proceedings and to other team
	<b>Objections:</b> State objections in legal terms and respond to objections of the other team
	<b>Overall Demeanor:</b> respectful interaction with judge and opposing team; standing when addressing the Court or addressed by the judge
	<b>Witnesses:</b> Performance (knowledge of affidavit, demeanor, character)
	<b>Preparation:</b> Very well-organized, prepared use of facts, effective teamwork
	<b>Closing Argument:</b> Persuasiveness, effective use of rebuttal, effective and fair summary of the trial